



Scarcely Ltd
Property Services

2847 269th Avenue
Marshall, MN 56258

Email: scarcely@iw.net
Office: 507-929-9870
Cell: 507-828-9868

www.scarcelyltd.com

Lead-Based Paint Risk Assessment Report

Report Date: 6/17/26

Property Address: 1530 3rd St. NE
Rochester, MN 55906



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Lead-based Paint Analysis Letter.....	3
Purpose.....	3
Scope of work	4
HUD Side Identification	4
Definition of the Condition Comments.....	4
Findings	5
Components with LBP.....	5
Components with No Lead (or Lead at Tolerable Levels).....	5
Components with LBP and Considered Poor.....	5
Interim Control Option and Estimated Cost for Areas Considered Poor.....	6
Abatement Option and Estimated Cost for Areas Considered Poor	6
Report Sections	7
Disclosure Responsibility	7
Lead Safe Practices Responsibility.....	7
Conclusion	8
Lead Hazard Reduction Options (Interior).....	9
Cleaning	9
Trim	9
Lead Hazard Reduction Options (Exterior).....	10
Soil Treatments	10
Re-evaluation Schedule	11
Resident Questionnaire	12
Exterior Site Inspection.....	13
Interior Site Inspection.....	14
Lead Risk Assessor License.....	15
Property Map	16
XRF Results.....	17
Laboratory Fact Sheet and Results	18

LEAD-BASED PAINT ANALYSIS LETTER

Agency: First Homes
Address: 12 Elton Hills Dr. NW
Rochester, MN 55901
Contact: Director of Projects
Justin Voss
Phone: 507-424-2411
Reference: Lead Analysis Performed at: **(vacant)**
1530 3rd St. NE
Rochester, MN 55906

Note: This risk assessment and visual inspection was performed to determine the presence of lead-based paint only. Other environmental concerns may exist at the property.

Type of Analysis:

☐ **Inspection** ☒ **Risk Assessment** ☐ **Risk Assessment/Inspection**

Dear Client:

Per your request, Ara Vance, Minnesota Certification LEAD-443, of Scarcely Ltd (Lead Certified Firm License #727) conducted a Lead-Based Paint (LBP) Risk Assessment on the above referenced property on June 8, 2026. A SciAps Model X-550 X-ray Fluorescence (XRF) machine was used during the inspection (Serial Number 550-04278).

We conducted **19** tests using:

☒ **Dust Wipes** ☐ **Paint Samples** ☐ **Soil Samples** ☒ **XRF Readings**

Purpose

The purpose of this risk assessment was to determine whether lead-based paint hazards were present on any deteriorated components or any components slated for removal/remodeling according to the scope of work provided at the time of inspection. This report contains the results of the visual paint inspection (interior and exterior) and the testing results from XRF data and laboratory dust wipe samples. Note that if this risk assessment was done for multi-unit housing, a targeted risk assessment was performed.

Scope of work

The risk assessment encompassed the above property, which is a single-family home. An EPA and State Certified LBP Risk Assessor performed this LBP risk assessment. The LBP risk assessment procedures are in general accordance with the 2012 Revision of Chapter 5: Risk Assessment and Reevaluation in the Housing Urban Development (HUD) Guidelines for the Evaluation and Control of Lead-Based Paint Hazard and the State of Minnesota Guidelines.

Scarcely Ltd's responsibilities were to conduct an initial walkthrough of the property, collect samples of painted components using either dust wipes or XRF machine readings (or collect lead paint chips from areas unable to test due to surface geometry), and to provide a written report of the location and condition of LBP components.

After performing an initial walkthrough of the property, dust wipe samples and XRF readings were collected according to standard lead testing protocols. A wipe sample marked "hearth" is a blind sample with a known lead concentration used to verify laboratory tolerances. Samples consisted of walls, doors and associated framework, windows and associated framework, ceilings, baseboards, shelves and associated framework, exterior components, and bare soil of more than 36 contiguous square inches.

The results of those individual tests are shown on an attachment to this report. All components of a similar nature with similar paint history may not have been tested. If a component tested positive for lead-based paint, it is permissible to assume that other similar components also contain lead-based paint. If a component tested negative, it may be assumed that others like it are also negative. Efforts were made to test all necessary components, however some components may not have been tested if they could not be accessed at the time of inspection (for example, if windows were screwed shut, then all window components could not be tested).

HUD Side Identification

The HUD Side Identifications are as follows:

- Perimeter walls are identified as A, B, C, D.
- Side A is the street/front door side.
- Sides B, C, and D are identified clockwise from Side A while facing the dwelling.
- Each room equivalent's side identification follows the scheme for the whole house.

Definition of the Condition Comments

Comments on the condition include the following:

Condition	Description
Good / Intact	Good overall condition
Fair	Less than 2 square feet of damage to large interior surface such as wall, Less than 10 square feet of damage to large exterior surfaces, Or less than 10% damage of small surfaces areas.
Poor	More than 2 square feet of interior damage, Or more than 10 square feet per large surface, Or 20 square feet of combined exterior surfaces, Or 10% damage of small surface areas.

Findings

From the XRF machine and/or laboratory analytical results, components were tested for the presence of lead. XRF data sheets are attached to this report to show locations of all sampled material. If no lead was found in areas tested, a statement is included below indicating no lead was found. Our findings are stated in the following sections.

Components with LBP

The following components were found to contain LBP.

- **No lead-based paint was found in any components tested.**

Components with No Lead (or Lead at Tolerable Levels)

Other tests were conducted which yielded either indications of no lead or lead at tolerable levels. Refer to the XRF Results and/or the Lab Results included with this report to review the areas tested.

Components with LBP and Considered Poor

In accordance to current standards of 20 square feet exterior, 2 square feet interior or 10 percent of the surface, the following areas contained LBP and were considered to be in poor condition.

- **None**

Note: When dust wipe samples are noted above as having tested high for lead-based paint dust during this inspection, this is a cleaning issue and not a stabilization issue. These areas need to be thoroughly cleaned prior to a lead-based paint clearance or a clearance may not pass.

Components that are in poor condition should be stabilized or removed. LBP components that are in intact condition should be kept in an intact condition and any future renovations to these areas should be conducted using lead safe practices. Areas of bare soil tested that are 100 ppm (parts per million) or above by weight should be seeded or covered with appropriate covering. All work should be done by a Lead Contractor and lead safe practices should be used.

Interim Control Option and Estimated Cost for Areas Considered Poor

The interim controls shown below are less expensive initially but may be more expensive in the long run because re-evaluations are needed. Removal and replacement of all LBP components is costly upfront, but may be less costly overall because re-evaluations are not needed.

Poor Area	Interim Control Option	Qty	Unit Cost	Total
	The Interim Control Option is not required for this property because of planned rehab work.			\$0.00
				\$0.00
				\$0.00
				\$0.00
				\$0.00
TOTAL	Total Interim Control			\$0.00

Abatement Option and Estimated Cost for Areas Considered Poor

Poor Area	Abatement Option	Qty	Unit Cost	Total
	The Abatement Option is not required for this property because of planned rehab work.			\$0.00
				\$0.00
				\$0.00
				\$0.00
				\$0.00
				\$0.00
				\$0.00
TOTAL	Total Abatement			\$0.00

Note: The cost estimates outlined above for the Interim and Abatement options are an estimate only. Costs may vary due to materials used, location, and labor. The owner should contact a lead abatement contractor for more precise prices.

Report Sections

The following information is included with this report.

- Laboratory Fact Sheet identifying the laboratory (if any) used in the analysis of samples. Also indicated are the threshold levels at which corrective measures need to be taken.
- Lead Hazard Reduction Options (interior and exterior) and corrective actions, which may pertain individually to those locations.
- Re-evaluation Schedule
- Resident Questionnaire
- Exterior Site Inspection
- Interior Site Inspection
- Lead Risk Assessor License
- Property Map
- XRF Results
- Lab Results and Chain of Custody Form

Disclosure Responsibility

If lead-based paint has been identified in this report, the following disclosure rules must be followed.

A copy of this report must be provided to new lessees (tenants) and purchasers of this property under Federal Law (24 CFR part 35 and 40 CFR part 745) and the Federal Residential Lead-Based Paint Hazard Reduction Act (42 U.S.C. 4852d) before they become obligated under a lease or sales contract. The complete report must also be provided to new purchasers and it must be made available to new tenants. Landlords (lessors) and sellers are also required to distribute an educational pamphlet (Protect Your Family from Lead in Your Home) and include standard warning language in their leases or sales contracts to ensure that children and pregnant women are protected from LBP hazards. Pamphlets and sample forms are available on the HUD or EPA websites and may be duplicated as necessary. More information about Lead is available by calling 1-800-424-LEAD.

Lead Safe Practices Responsibility

The Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) Lead in Construction Standard states that “negative” readings (i.e., those below the HUD/EPA definition of what constitutes LBP [1.0 mg/cm²]) **do not** relieve contractors from performing exposure assessments (personal air monitoring) on their employees per the OSHA Lead Standard, and should not be interpreted as lead free. Although a reading may indicate “negative,” airborne lead concentrations still may exceed the OSHA Action Level or the OSHA Permissible Exposure Limit (PEL) depending on the work activity.



Conclusion

Any existing lead hazards that have been identified should be controlled as soon as possible with lead safe practices. If bare soil was found to contain lead of 100 ppm (parts per million) or above by weight, treatment should be done following the method outlined in the Lead Hazard Reduction Options (exterior) section of this report.

After the cleaning and paint stabilization work has been completed, clearance dust samples must be collected and analyzed before this property can be considered lead-safe. Clearance tests should include a visual inspection to ensure all areas of lead hazards including soil have been corrected and no new areas of failing paint or soil have occurred.

Sincerely,

Ara Vance
(License LEAD-443)

LEAD HAZARD REDUCTION OPTIONS (INTERIOR)

Note: All lead-based paint related work shall be done following lead safe practices only.

Cleaning

Spec No. L101: Cleaning

HEPA vacuum sills, troughs, and flooring.
Use a Wet Wipe on all painted or hard surfaces.

Spec No. L212: Window Replacement

Dispose of entire window unit.
Install a window unit to match the existing pattern, thickness, and style of the original component.
Replace casing and/or trim.
Prime and then paint or varnish.

Trim

Spec No. L400: Trim – Paint Interior

Mist defective paint area with water.
Lightly scrape all loose paint.
Feather edges with a sponge-sanding block saturated with de-glossing agent.
Rinse and HEPA vacuum all visible chips.
Allow surface to dry, then seal and topcoat with premium acrylic latex or alkyd enamel.

Spec No. L401: Trim – Varnish Interior

Mist defective area with water.
Lightly scrape all loose paint.

Spec. No. L410: Strip Trim – Heat Gun

Spread non-flammable drop cloth.
Use a heat gun with a 700°F maximum temperature to soften paint.
Scrape to bare substrate of trim members noted (minor residue may be cleaned with paint remover).
Package paint residue as hazardous waste.
Feather sand with sanding block in 5% TSP solution.
Wash surface with a lead specific detergent or equivalent, rinse and then prime in preparation for paint.
Topcoat with a high quality acrylic latex or alkyd paints.

Spec No. L420: Trim – Replacement

Remove, package, and dispose of lead painted trim.
Repair wall areas damaged in removal process; prime and paint.
Install replacement trim.
Prime and topcoat with acrylic latex or alkyd paint.

LEAD HAZARD REDUCTION OPTIONS (EXTERIOR)

Spec No. L700: **Walls – Exterior Stabilization**

Mist defective paint with water to the point of saturation.
Lightly scrape all loose paint.
Feather edges with a sponge sanding block.
Rinse and allow to dry.
Spot prime and apply a premium topcoat.

Spec No. L701: **Trim, Stabilize, and Paint Exterior**

Mist defective paint area with water.
Lightly scrape all loose paint.
Feather edges with a sponge sanding block saturated with de-glossing agent.
Allow surface to dry, spot prime, and then topcoat with premium acrylic latex or alkyd topcoat.

Spec No. L710: **Exterior Siding Replacement with House Wrap Siding**

Stencil “Lead Paint” at four-foot intervals on existing surface.
Apply an approved vapor barrier to enclose the paint containing the lead.
Protect the house wrap with vinyl or aluminum siding and wrapped trim installed in accordance with manufacturer’s specifications.

Spec No. L711: **Enclose Exterior Trim with Metal**

Stabilize surface by wet scraping and HEPA vacuuming.
Enclose trim with .027 aluminum breaker stock.
Back caulk all seams with siliconized acrylic caulk to create an airtight installation.

Spec No. L712: **Enclose Soffit and Fascia**

Stabilize soffit and fascia by wet scraping and HEPA vacuuming.
Enclose fascia with .027 aluminum breaker stock.
Back caulk all seams with siliconized acrylic to create an airtight installation.

Spec No. L713: **Replace Exterior Trim**

Remove, package, and dispose of molding, trim, or specified component.
Replace with finger jointed components, then caulk with siliconized acrylic.
Prep, prime, and topcoat with acrylic latex paint.

Soil Treatments

Spec No. L901: **Till Top Soil and Rake, Seed, or Sod**

Till top 5” of soil.
Rake and weed or sod; then water until sod is established.

Spec No. L902: **Till Top Soil and Mulch**

Till top 5” of soil to dilute surface lead contamination.
Cover with 2” of mulch, wood chips, concrete, rocks or other impervious material.

RE-EVALUATION SCHEDULE

If lead-based paint was identified in this report, the following re-evaluation should be performed.

The owner should examine the condition of all treatments every year.

Each of these treatments will need to be re-examined by a certified risk assessor at specific time intervals to make certain that they remain effective and to ensure that new lead-based paint hazards do not appear. The interim controls stated previously are less expensive initially, but they may eventually be more expensive because they need to be re-evaluated more frequently. The replacement and paint removal methods are more expensive initially, but do not require any re-evaluation. The standard schedule for re-evaluating the dwelling is shown in the following table.

Hazard Control Method	Standard Re-evaluation Schedule	Type of Re-evaluation
Dust Removal	1 year, 2 years later Annually	Dust Sampling Visual Examination of Suspect Paint
Paint Film Stabilization	1 year, 2 years later Annually	Dust Sampling Visual Examination of Suspect Paint
Soil Interim Control	3 months, then annually	Visual Examination
Soil Abatement	Annually	Visual Examination for new bare spots or deterioration of paving
Enclosure	Annually	Visual Examination
Removal of all Lead-Based Paint	None	None
Building Component Replacement	None	None

If any damage occurs due to flood, fire, vandalism, etc., we recommend at least a visual examination as soon as possible.

RESIDENT QUESTIONNAIRE

Estimated Year Built: 1940
Style: 1- 1/2 story
Children/Children's Habits

#	Question	Answer (if applicable)	Yes	No	N/A
①	Do you have any children that live in your home, and if yes, how many and what are their ages?	Vacant	☐	☐	☒
②	What are their blood levels, if known?		—	—	☒
③	Is there any visible evidence of chewed or peeling paint on the woodwork, furniture, or toys?	—	☐	☐	☒
④	Where are toys stored?		—	—	☒

Children's Sleeping, Playing, and Eating Locations

Name of Child	Location of Bedroom	Location of All Rooms Where Child Eats	Primary Location Where Child Plays <i>Indoors</i>	Primary Location Where Child Plays <i>Outside</i>
	Not applicable			

Family Use Patterns

#	Question	Answer (if applicable)	Yes	No	N/A
⑤	Which entrances are used most frequently?		—	—	☒
⑥	Which windows are opened most frequently?		—	—	☒
⑦	Do you use window air conditioners, and if yes, where?		☐	☐	☒
⑧	Do any household members engage in gardening, and if yes, where is the garden located?		☐	☐	☒
⑨	Are you planning any landscaping activities that will remove grass or ground covering?	—	☐	☐	☒
⑩	How often is the household cleaned?		—	—	☒
⑪	What cleaning methods do you use?		—	—	☒
⑫	Did you recently complete any building renovations, and if yes, where?		☐	☐	☒
⑬	Was building debris stored in the yard?	—	☐	☐	☒
⑭	Are you planning any building renovations, and if yes, where?	No scope; risk assessment done to ensure renovations prior to sale addressed all lead-based paint issues	☐	☒	☐
⑮	Does any household member work in a lead-related industry, and if yes, where are dirty clothes stored?		☐	☐	☒
⑯	Does anyone of childbearing age live at this property?	—	☐	☐	☒

EXTERIOR SITE INSPECTION

BUILDING COMPONENT	LOCATION	SUBSTRATE / TYPE	PAINT CONDITION	CONDITION COMMENTS
SIDING	house	Stucco	☒ Good ☐ Fair ☐ Poor	
	NO garage		☐ Good ☐ Fair ☐ Poor	
	Comments:			
TRIM & CASING	house	Wood	☒ Good ☐ Fair ☐ Poor	
	basement	Metal-covered	☒ Good ☐ Fair ☐ Poor	
			☐ Good ☐ Fair ☐ Poor	
Comments:				
EXTERIOR WINDOWS (STORM)	house	Vinyl clad	☒ Good ☐ Fair ☐ Poor	
	basement	Vinyl clad & Glass Block	☒ Good ☐ Fair ☐ Poor	
			☐ Good ☐ Fair ☐ Poor	
Comments:				
EXTERIOR DOORS	house	Metal	☒ Good ☐ Fair ☐ Poor	
			☐ Good ☐ Fair ☐ Poor	
			☐ Good ☐ Fair ☐ Poor	
Comments:				
OVERHANGS	house	Wood/Stucco	☒ Good ☐ Fair ☐ Poor	Soffit is stucco; fascia is wood
			☐ Good ☐ Fair ☐ Poor	
	Comments:			
SOIL			SOIL	☒ No bare soil was found. ☐ There is bare soil of more than 36 contiguous square inches. ☐ Soil is covered with snow; any bare soil found should be seeded or covered with an appropriate cover.

INTERIOR SITE INSPECTION

BUILDING COMPONENT	LOCATION	SUBSTRATE / TYPE	PAINT CONDITION	CONDITION COMMENTS
INTERIOR DOORS	All	Wood	☒ Good ☐ Fair ☐ Poor	
	Comments:			
INTERIOR WINDOWS	All	Newer replacement inserts	☒ Good ☐ Fair ☐ Poor	
	Basement	vinyl/glass block	good	
	Comments:			
CEILINGS	All	Mixed ceiling types	☒ Good ☐ Fair ☐ Poor	
	Comments:			
WALLS	All	Mixed wall types	☒ Good ☐ Fair ☐ Poor	
	Comments:			
FLOORS	All	Mix of surfaces and coverings	☒ Good ☐ Fair ☐ Poor	
	Comments:			
KITCHEN CABINETS	All	Wood	☒ Good ☐ Fair ☐ Poor	
	Comments:			
BATHROOM CABINETS	All	Wood	☒ Good ☐ Fair ☐ Poor	
	Comments:			
OTHER				

LEAD RISK ASSESSOR LICENSE





PROPERTY MAP

OWNER: FIRST HOMES
STREET: 1530 3rd St NE
CITY/ST: Rochester, MN

ESTIMATED YEAR BUILT: 1940
STYLE: 1 1/2 STORY


SIDE A = STREET SIDE OF HOUSE

MAP NOT TO SCALE AND NOT ALL COMPONENTS INCLUDED. SIDES ARE CLOCKWISE FROM SIDE A.

3RD ST NE

SIDE B

1ST LEVEL



XRF RESULTS

The XRF testing at this property was conducted on **June 8, 2026** from **1:06 p.m.** to **1:13 p.m.**

XRF Reading	Component	Substrate	Side	Condition	Color	Room	Results	Lead Conc. (mg/cm ²)
1			Cal				Positive	1.1
2			Cal				Positive	1.1
3			Cal				Positive	1.1
4	Stringer	Wood	D	Poor	Beige	Entry	Negative	0.2
5	Stringer	Wood	D	Poor	Beige	Entry	Negative	0.2
6	Stringer	Wood	D	Poor	Beige	Entry	Negative	0.6
7	Stringer	Wood	D	Poor	Beige	Entry	Negative	0.2
8	Floor	Concrete	D	Poor	Gray	Basement	Negative	0
9	Steps	Wood	A	Poor	Gray	House Ext	Negative	0
10			Cal				Positive	1.1
11			Cal				Positive	1
12			Cal				Positive	1.1

LABORATORY FACT SHEET AND RESULTS

Analytical Results — Attached

Laboratory:

Accurate Analytical Testing
30105 Beverly Rd
Romulus, MI 48174
734-699-5227

American Industrial Hygiene Association (AIHA) Accreditation #: 100956

A copy of the dust wipe sample results, soil samples, and paint chips taken from the property are attached. The clearance standards are listed below. If a sample exceeds these standards, it is considered a lead hazard.

Test Area	Inspection/Assessments
Floor (FL) or Carpet (CP)	10 µg/ft ²
Window sills (SL)	100 µg/ft ²
Window wells (WW)	400 µg/ft ²

Tolerance standards for Paint Chips: 5,000 ppm or 0.5 Pb by Wt. or 1 mg/cm².

Clearance standards for Soil Lead Contamination:

Any bare soil: 100 ppm (parts per million)
Abatement is required if over 5000 ppm (parts per million)

Clearance standards for Water Lead Contamination: Over 15 ppb (parts per billion)

Results

The following pages show the results received from our laboratory from the dust wipe samples obtained during the lead risk assessment.



Certificate of Analysis: Lead In Dust Wipe by EPA Method 7000B/NIOSH 7082*

Client: Scarsely Ltd
 2847 259th Avenue
 Marshall, MN 56258
 Attn: Brian Swelborki Email: scarsely@icr.net
 Phone: 507-639-9870 Fax:
 Client Project: 1530 3RD ST NE ROCHESTER MN
 Project Location: 1530 3RD ST NE ROCHESTER MN

AAT Project: 1304044
 Sampling Date: 06/06/2025
 Date Received: 06/11/2025
 Date Analyzed: 06/11/2025
 Date Reported: 06/11/2025

Sample ID	Client Code	Sample Description	Length (inch)	Width (inch)	Area (Sq Ft)	Results Lead $\mu\text{g}/\text{ft}^2$
11567614	15-1	LR WS	2	18	0.25	<16.0
11567615	15-2	LR F	12	12	1.00	<4.00
11567616	15-3	KT WS	2	18	0.25	<16.0
11567617	15-4	KT F	12	12	1.00	<4.00
11567618	15-5	BA WS	2	18	0.25	<16.0
11567619	15-6	BA F	12	12	1.00	<4.00
11567620	15-7	BR 4 WS	2	18	0.25	<16.0

Analyst Signature



Alexis Pheaney

ND = Not Detected, NA = Not Available, RL = Reporting Limit, Analytical Reporting Limit is 0.1 $\mu\text{g}/\text{sample}$. A "<" indicates the reported result is below AAT's reporting limit. For true values assume (2) significant figures. AAT Internal SOP 5005. The method and batch QC are acceptable unless otherwise stated. EPA Regulatory Limits: 3 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ft}^2$ (Floor, Carpeted/Un carpeted), 40 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ft}^2$ (Window Sill/Inside), 100 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ft}^2$ (Window Sill/Inside Concrete Surface), HUD Grants Regulatory Limits: 10 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ft}^2$ (Interior Floor), 40 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ft}^2$ (Porch Floor), 100 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ft}^2$ (Window Sill), 100 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ft}^2$ (Window Sill/Inside). The laboratory operates in accord with ISO 17025 guidelines and holds limited scopes of accreditation under ANAB LAP and NY State DOH ELAP programs. These results are submitted pursuant to AAT, LLC current terms and conditions of sale, including the company's standard warranty and limitation of liability provisions. Analytical results relate to the samples as received by the lab. AAT will not assume any liability or responsibility for the manner in which the results are used or interpreted. All Quality Control requirements for the samples this report contains have been met. AAT does not blank correct reported values. Sample data apply only to items analyzed. Results are calculated with wipe dimensions supplied by client. Measurement uncertainty can be provided upon request. Measurement Uncertainty represents only Analytical Uncertainty. Reproduction of this document other than in its entirety is not authorized by AAT, LLC. * = Validated modified method. Samples are stored for 15 days following report date.

ANAB LAP: Lab ID #100395, NY State DOH ELAP: Lab ID #11895, State of Ohio: Lab ID #10342, State of RI: Lab ID #1400340

Date Printed: 06/11/2025

AAT Project: 1304044



To : Scarsely Ltd
 2847 268th Avenue
 Marshall, MN 56258

Attn : Brian Svalborg

Email : scarsely@bt.net

Phone : 507-929-9570

Project Location : 1530 3RD ST NE ROCHESTER MN

AAT Project : 1304344

Client Project : 1530 3RD ST NE ROCHESTER

Date Reported : 06/11/2025

Sample	Client Code	Analysis Requested	Completed	Analyst
11587814	15-1	Dust Wipe	06/11/2025	Alexis Phelaney
11587815	15-2	Dust Wipe	06/11/2025	Alexis Phelaney
11587816	15-3	Dust Wipe	06/11/2025	Alexis Phelaney
11587817	15-4	Dust Wipe	06/11/2025	Alexis Phelaney
11587818	15-5	Dust Wipe	06/11/2025	Alexis Phelaney
11587819	15-6	Dust Wipe	06/11/2025	Alexis Phelaney
11587820	15-7	Dust Wipe	06/11/2025	Alexis Phelaney



Reviewed By

Elyse Biele
 Quality Assurance Coordinator

This report is intended for use solely by the individual or entity to which it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential and otherwise exempt by law from disclosure. If the reader of this information is not the intended recipient or an employee of its intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this information is strictly prohibited. If you have received this information in error, please notify AAT immediately. Thank you.